

ON LONG INCREASING CHAINS MODULO FLAT IDEALS

SAHARON SHELAH

ABSTRACT. We prove that, e.g., in $(\omega_3)(\omega_3)$ there is no sequence of length ω_4 increasing modulo the ideal of countable sets.

This note is concerned with the depth of the partial order of the functions in ${}^\kappa\gamma$ modulo the ideal of the form $\mathcal{I} = [\kappa]^{<\mu}$. Let us recall the following definitions.

Definition 1. For a partial order (P, \sqsubseteq) we define

- $\text{Depth}(P, \sqsubseteq) = \sup\{|\mathcal{F}| : \mathcal{F} \subseteq P \text{ is well-ordered by } \sqsubseteq\}$ [*the depth*]
- $\text{cf}(P, \sqsubseteq) = \min\{|\mathcal{F}| : \mathcal{F} \subseteq P \text{ is } \sqsubseteq\text{-cofinal which mean that for every } p \in P \text{ there is } q \in \mathcal{F} \text{ such that } p \sqsubseteq q\}$ [*the cofinality*].

Our result (Theorem 4) states that under suitable assumptions the depth of the partial order $({}^\kappa\gamma, <_{[\kappa]}^{<\mu})$ is at most $|\gamma|$. In particular, letting $\mu = \aleph_1$, $\kappa = |\gamma| = \aleph_3$ we obtain that in $(\omega_3)(\omega_3)$ there is no sequence of length ω_4 increasing modulo the ideal of countable sets.

Let $\kappa = \text{cf}(\kappa) > \aleph_0$. If $\mu = \kappa$, then $\text{Depth}({}^\kappa\kappa, <_{J_\kappa^{\text{bd}}})$ can be (forced to be) large. But for $\mu > \text{Depth}({}^\kappa\mu, <_{J_\kappa^{\text{bd}}})$ this implies pcf results (see [Sh 410], [Sh 589]).

However, e.g., for the ideal $\mathcal{I} = [\omega_3]^{\leq\aleph_0}$ it is harder to get long increasing sequence, as above for “high μ ”, this leads to pcf results e.g. if we assume that $\bar{\lambda} = \langle \lambda_i : i < \omega_3 \rangle \in {}^{\omega_3}\text{Reg}$, and in $(\prod \bar{\lambda}, <_{\mathcal{I}})$ there is an increasing sequence modulo \mathcal{I} of length say $> 2^{\aleph_3} + \sup\{\lambda_i : i < \omega_3\}$ are much stronger than known consistency results. Even for $I = [\omega_1]^{\leq\aleph_0}$ we do not know, for $I = [\beth_\omega]^{\leq\aleph_0}$ we know ([Sh 460]), so even $[\aleph_\omega]^{\leq\aleph_0}$ would be interesting good news.

We hope sometime to prove, e.g.,

Conjecture 2. For every $\mu > \theta$, in $(\theta^{+3})^\mu$ there is no increasing sequence of length μ^+ modulo $[\theta^{+3}]^{\leq\theta}$.

Problem 3. Is it consistent that ${}^\theta\theta$ contains $<_{\mathcal{I}}$ -increasing sequence of length θ^+ when $\theta = \kappa^+$ and $\mathcal{I} = [\theta]^{<\kappa}$?

Notation: Our notation is rather standard and compatible with that of classical textbooks (like Jech [J]).

- (1) Ordinal numbers will be denoted by the lower case initial letters of the Greek alphabet $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \dots$ (with possible subscripts). Cardinal numbers will be called $\kappa, \lambda, \mu, \theta$.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 03E05 03E10.

Key words and phrases. increasing chain, order modulo an ideal.

I would like to thank Alice Leonhardt for the beautiful typing. The author acknowledges support from the United States-Israel Binational Science Foundation (Grant no. 2002323). Publication 908.

- (2) For a set X and a cardinal θ , $[X]^\theta$ (or $[X]^{<\theta}$, respectively) stands for the family of subsets of X of size θ ($< \theta$, respectively).

Theorem 4. Assume $\mu^+ < \kappa \leq \theta$ and $\mathcal{J} = [\kappa]^{<\mu}$ and $\text{cf}([\theta]^\mu, \subseteq) \leq \theta$. Let $\gamma < \theta^+$. Then $\text{Depth}(\kappa\gamma, <_{\mathcal{J}}) \leq \theta$, i.e., there is no $<_{\mathcal{J}}$ -increasing sequence $\langle f_\alpha : \alpha < \theta^+ \rangle$ of functions from $\kappa\gamma$ modulo \mathcal{J} .

Proof. Assume towards contradiction that there is a $<_{\mathcal{J}}$ -increasing sequence $\langle f_\zeta : \zeta < \theta^+ \rangle \subseteq \kappa\gamma$.

Let $\mathcal{S} \subseteq [\gamma]^\mu$ be cofinal of cardinality $\leq \theta$ (exists as $|\gamma| \leq \theta$ and $\text{cf}([\theta]^\mu, \subseteq) \leq \theta$). For every $s \in \mathcal{S}$ and $\beta < \kappa$, $\zeta < \theta^+$ we let

- $I(\beta) := [\beta, \beta + \mu]$,
- $f_\zeta^s \in {}^\kappa(\gamma + 1)$ be defined by $f_\zeta^s(i) = \min(s \cup \{\gamma\} \setminus f_\zeta(i))$,
- $f_\zeta^{s,\beta} \in {}^{I(\beta)}(\gamma + 1)$ be defined as $f_\zeta^s \upharpoonright I(\beta)$.

Now, for each $s \in \mathcal{S}$ we have

- (*)₁ (a) for every $\zeta < \theta^+$, $f_\zeta^{s,\beta} : I(\beta) \longrightarrow s \cup \{\gamma\}$,
(b) if $\zeta < \xi < \theta^+$, then $f_\zeta^{s,\beta} \leq f_\xi^{s,\beta} \text{ mod } [I(\beta)]^{<\mu}$.

For $s \in \mathcal{S}$ we define

$$(*)_2 B_s = \{\beta < \kappa : (\forall \zeta < \theta^+) (\exists \xi > \zeta) \neg(f_\zeta^{s,\beta} = f_\xi^{s,\beta} \text{ mod } [I(\beta)]^{<\mu})\}.$$

Plainly, we may choose a sequence $\langle C_\beta^s : \beta < \kappa, s \in \mathcal{S} \rangle$ such that

- (*)₃ (a) C_β^s is a club of θ^+ ,
(b) if $\beta \in B_s$ and $\xi, \zeta \in C_\beta^s$ are such that $\zeta < \xi$, then $\neg(f_\zeta^{s,\beta} = f_\xi^{s,\beta} \text{ mod } [I(\beta)]^{<\mu})$,
(c) if $\beta \in \kappa \setminus B_s$, then $f_\zeta^{s,\beta} = f_\xi^{s,\beta} \text{ mod } [I(\beta)]^{<\mu}$ whenever $\min(C_\beta^s) \leq \zeta \leq \xi < \theta^+$.

Then, as $|\mathcal{S}| \leq \theta$ and $\kappa \leq \theta$, we have

- (*)₄ the set $C := \bigcap \{C_\beta^s : s \in \mathcal{S} \text{ and } \beta < \kappa\}$ is a club of θ^+ .

Choose a sequence $\langle \alpha_\varepsilon : \varepsilon < \mu^+ \rangle \subseteq C$ increasing with ε . Then, for all $\varepsilon < \zeta < \mu^+$,

$$(*)_5 u_{\varepsilon, \zeta} := \{i < \kappa : f_{\alpha_\varepsilon}(i) \geq f_{\alpha_\zeta}(i)\} \in \mathcal{J}.$$

We have assumed that $\mu^+ < \kappa$, so we can find $\delta < \kappa$ such that

- (*)₆ (a) $I(\delta) = [\delta, \delta + \mu]$ is disjoint from $\bigcup \{u_{\varepsilon, \zeta} : \varepsilon < \zeta < \mu^+\}$, and hence
(b) the sequence $\langle f_{\alpha_\varepsilon}(i) : \varepsilon < \mu^+ \rangle$ is increasing for each $i \in I(\delta)$.

As $|I(\delta)| = \mu$ and $\mathcal{S} \subseteq [\gamma]^{<\mu}$ is cofinal (for the partial order \subseteq), we can find $s \in \mathcal{S}$ such that

$$(*)_7 \{f_{\alpha_0}(i), f_{\alpha_1}(i) : i \in I(\delta)\} \subseteq s.$$

It follows from $(*)_6 + (*)_7$ that for every $i \in I(\delta)$

$$(*)_8 f_{\alpha_0}^s(i) = f_{\alpha_0}(i) < f_{\alpha_1}(i) = f_{\alpha_1}^s(i).$$

As $\alpha_0 < \alpha_1$ are from C and $I(\delta) \notin \mathcal{J}$, recalling $(*)_2 + (*)_3 + (*)_4$, clearly

$$(*)_9 \delta \in B_s.$$

Therefore, as $\alpha_\varepsilon \in C \subseteq C_\delta^s$ for $\varepsilon < \mu^+$ and α_ε is increasing with ε , we have

- (*)₁₀ for every $\varepsilon < \mu^+$ there is $i_\varepsilon \in I(\delta)$ such that

$$(\alpha) f_{\alpha_\varepsilon}^s(i_\varepsilon) < f_{\alpha_{\varepsilon+1}}^s(i_\varepsilon),$$

and hence there is $j_\varepsilon \in s$ such that

$$(\beta) f_{\alpha_\varepsilon}^s(i_\varepsilon) \leq j_\varepsilon < f_{\alpha_{\varepsilon+1}}^s(i_\varepsilon)$$

and therefore

$$(\gamma) \quad f_{\alpha_\varepsilon}(i_\varepsilon) \leq j_\varepsilon < f_{\alpha_{\varepsilon+1}}(i_\varepsilon).$$

But $|I(\delta)| + |s| = \mu < \mu^+$, so for some pair $(j_*, i_*) \in s \times I(\delta)$ we may choose $\varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon_2 < \mu^+$ such that

$$(*)_{11} \quad j_{\varepsilon_1} = j_{\varepsilon_2} = j_* \text{ and } i_{\varepsilon_1} = i_{\varepsilon_2} = i_*.$$

But the sequence $\langle f_{\alpha_\varepsilon}(i_*) : \varepsilon < \theta^+ \rangle$ is increasing by $(*)_6(b)$ (see the choice of δ), so

$$f_{\alpha_{\varepsilon_1}}(i_*) < f_{\alpha_{\varepsilon_1+1}}(i_*) \leq f_{\alpha_{\varepsilon_2}}(i_*) < f_{\alpha_{\varepsilon_2+1}}(i_*).$$

It follows from $(*)_{10}(\gamma) + (*)_{11}$ that the ordinal j_* belongs to $[f_{\alpha_{\varepsilon_1}}(i_*), f_{\alpha_{\varepsilon_1+1}}(i_*)]$ and to $[f_{\alpha_{\varepsilon_2}}(i_*), f_{\alpha_{\varepsilon_2+1}}(i_*)]$, which are disjoint intervals, a contradiction. \square

Similarly,

Theorem 5. *Assume that*

- (a) \mathcal{J} is an ideal on κ ,
- (b) $I_\beta \in [\kappa]^\mu$, $I_\beta \notin \mathcal{J}$ for $\beta < \kappa$,
- (c) $\theta = |\gamma| + \kappa$ and $\text{cf}([\theta]^\mu, \subseteq) < \lambda$,
- (d) if $u_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{J}$ for $\varepsilon < \mu^+$, then for some $\beta < \kappa$ the set I_β is disjoint from $\bigcup_{\varepsilon < \mu^+} u_\varepsilon$.

Then there is no $<_{\mathcal{J}}$ -increasing sequence of functions from κ to γ of length λ .

Proof. Without loss of generality λ is the successor of $\text{cf}([\theta]^\mu, \subseteq)$ hence is regular. The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 4. \square

REFERENCES

- [J] Thomas Jech. *Set theory*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003. The third millennium edition, revised and expanded.
- [Sh 410] Saharon Shelah. More on Cardinal Arithmetic. *Archive for Mathematical Logic*, **32**:399–428, 1993. math.LO/0406550.
- [Sh 589] Saharon Shelah. Applications of PCF theory. *Journal of Symbolic Logic*, **65**:1624–1674, 2000.
- [Sh 460] Saharon Shelah. The Generalized Continuum Hypothesis revisited. *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, **116**:285–321, 2000. math.LO/9809200.

E-mail address: shelah@math.huji.ac.il

THE HEBREW UNIVERSITY OF JERUSALEM, EINSTEIN INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, EDMOND J. SAFRA CAMPUS, GIVAT RAM, JERUSALEM 91904, ISRAEL, AND DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HILL CENTER-BUSCH CAMPUS, RUTGERS, THE STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW JERSEY, 110 FRELINGHUYSEN ROAD, PISCATAWAY, NJ 08854-8019 USA